[118H9961]

(Original Signature of Member)

119TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H.R.

To permit Amtrak to bring civil actions in Federal district court to enforce the right set forth in section 24308(c) of title 49, United States Code, which gives intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation preference over freight transportation in using a rail line, junction, or crossing, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Мr.	DELUZIO introduced	tne	ronowing	рш;	wnich	was	referred	to	tne
	Committee on								

A BILL

- To permit Amtrak to bring civil actions in Federal district court to enforce the right set forth in section 24308(c) of title 49, United States Code, which gives intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation preference over freight transportation in using a rail line, junction, or crossing, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- This Act may be cited as the "Rail Passenger Fair-
- 3 ness Act".
- 4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 5 (1) Congress created Amtrak under the Rail 6 Passenger Service Act of 1970 (Public Law 91–
- 7 158).
- 8 (2) Amtrak began serving customers on May 1,
 9 1971, taking over the operation of most intercity
 10 passenger trains that private, freight railroads were
 11 previously required to operate. In exchange for as12 suming these passenger rail operations, Amtrak was
 13 given access to the national rail network.
 - (3) In return for relief from the obligation to provide intercity passenger service, railroads over which Amtrak operated (referred to in this section as "host railroads") were expected to give Amtrak passenger trains preference over freight trains when using the national rail network.
 - (4) In 1973, Congress passed the Amtrak Improvement Act of 1973 (Public Law 93–146), which gives intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation preference over freight transportation in using a rail line, junction, or crossing. This right, which is now codified as section 24308(c) of title 49, United States Code, states, "Except in an emer-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 gency, intercity and commuter rail passenger trans-2 portation provided by or for Amtrak has preference 3 over freight transportation in using a rail line, junc-4 tion, or crossing unless the Board orders otherwise 5 under this subsection. A rail carrier affected by this 6 subsection may apply to the Board for relief. If the 7 Board, after an opportunity for a hearing under sec-8 tion 553 of title 5, decides that preference for inter-9 city and commuter rail passenger transportation ma-10 terially will lessen the quality of freight transpor-11 tation provided to shippers, the Board shall establish 12 the rights of the carrier and Amtrak on reasonable 13 terms.". 14 (5) Many host railroads have ignored the law 15 referred to in paragraph (4) by refusing to give pas-16 senger rail the priority to which it is statutorily enti-17 tled and giving freight transportation the higher pri-18 ority. As a result, Amtrak's on time performance on 19 most host railroads is poor, has declined between 20 2014 through 2019, and continues to decline. 21 22 on State-supported and long-distance trains arrived

(6) According to Amtrak, 6,500,000 customers on State-supported and long-distance trains arrived at their destination late during fiscal year 2019. Nearly 70 percent of these delays were caused by host railroads, amounting to a total of 3,200,000

23

24

25

1	minutes. The largest cause of these delays was
2	freight train interference, which accounted for more
3	than 1,000,000 minutes of delay for Amtrak pas-
4	sengers, or approximately 2 years, because host rail-
5	roads chose to give freight trains priority.
6	(7) Poor on-time performance wastes taxpayer
7	dollars. According to a 2019 report by Amtrak's Of-
8	fice of Inspector General, a 5 percent improvement
9	of on-time performance on all Amtrak routes would
10	result in \$12,100,000 in cost savings to Amtrak in
11	the first year. If on-time performance on long-dis-
12	tance routes reached 75 percent for a year, Amtrak
13	would realize an estimated \$41,900,000 in operating
14	cost savings, with a one-time savings of
15	\$336,000,000 due to a reduction in equipment re-
16	placement needs.
17	(8) Historical data suggests that on-time per-
18	formance on host railroads is driven by the existence
19	of an effective means to enforce Amtrak's preference
20	rights:
21	(A) Two months after the date of the en-
22	actment of the Passenger Rail Investment and
23	Improvement Act of 2008 (division B of Public
24	Law 110–432), which included provisions for
25	the enforcement of these preference rights, was

1	enacted, the on-time performance of long-dis-
2	tance trains improved from 56 percent to 77
3	percent and class I freight train interference
4	delays across all routes declined by 40 percent.
5	(B) One year after such date of enactment,
6	freight train interference delays had declined by
7	54 percent and the on-time performance of
8	long-distance trains reached 85 percent.
9	(C) In 2014, after some of the provisions
10	in the Passenger Rail Investment and Improve-
11	ment Act of 2008 related to enforcement of
12	preference were ruled unconstitutional by a
13	D.C. Circuit Court, long-distance train on-time
14	performance declined from 72 percent to 50
15	percent, and freight train interference delays in-
16	creased 59 percent.
17	(D) The last time long-distance trains
18	achieved an on-time rate of more than 80 per-
19	cent in a given month was February 2012.
20	(9) As a result of violations of Amtrak's right
21	to preference, Amtrak has been consistently unable
22	on host railroad networks to meet its congressionally
23	mandated mission and goals, which are codified in
24	section 24101 of title 49, United States Code (relat-

1	ing to providing on-time and trip-time competitive
2	service to its passengers).
3	(10) Amtrak does not have an effective mecha-
4	nism to enforce its statutory preference right in
5	order to fulfill its mission and goals. Only the Attor-
6	ney General can bring a civil action for equitable re-
7	lief in a district court of the United States to en-
8	force Amtrak's preference rights.
9	(11) In Amtrak's entire history, the only en-
10	forcement action initiated by the Attorney General
11	was against the Southern Pacific Transportation
12	Company in 1979.
13	(12) Congress supports continued authority for
14	the Attorney General to initiate an action, but Am-
15	trak should also be entitled to bring a civil action be-
16	fore a Federal district court to enforce its statutory
17	preference rights.
18	SEC. 3. AUTHORIZE AMTRAK TO BRING A CIVIL ACTION TO
19	ENFORCE IT PREFERENCE RIGHTS.
20	(a) In General.—Section 24308(c) of title 49,
21	United States Code, is amended, by adding at the end the
22	following: "Notwithstanding sections 24103(a) and
23	24308(f), Amtrak shall have the right to bring an action
24	for equitable or other relief in the United States District

- 1 Court for the District of Columbia to enforce the pref-
- 2 erence rights granted under this subsection.".
- 3 (b) Conforming Amendment.—Section 24103 of
- 4 title 49, United States Code, is amended by inserting "and
- 5 section 24308(c)" before ", only the Attorney General".